







System-of-Systems Security for Crisis Management

Kashif Kifayat, Abdullahi Arabo, Oliver Drew, David Llewellyn-Jones, Madjid Merabti, Qi Shi

Adrian Waller, Rachel Craddock, Glyn Jones

Liverpool John Moores University Thales Research and Technology (UK) Ltd.



- Background
- Challenges
- Our Approach
- Requirements
- Implementation
- Scenario
- Future Work

Network image by gerard79: <u>http://www.sxc.hu/photo/1008231</u>







- A crisis or disaster is a natural or man-made disruptive event
 - 2005 Hurricane Katrina: over 1604 people died with estimated financial loss of \$25-\$100 billion
 - 2005 Buncefield Oil Depot Explosion: 0 deaths and \$1billion
- ICT requirements in crisis management
 - Dynamic ad-hoc communication network between different agencies using System-of-Systems approach
 - Each agency will have its own networks and systems
 - Secure information sharing without delay



Image courtesy of Chilton Air Support Unit and Hampshire Constabulary



System-of-Systems Security and Assurance Issues

- Interaction between component systems may affect the security and assurance of the overall system
 - Assurance in components is important and can be built on, but is not enough in itself
 - Crisis situations are highly dynamic and unpredictable, and it is not possible to engineer components to be suitable for all situations
- Assurance takes time to establish
- High security may have an adverse effect on information flow
 - Need balance between security and operational effectiveness



Image http://www.istockphoto.com





- Secure System Composition Modelling and Evaluation
 - Analyse different pre-deployment System-of-System scenarios
 - Highlight the post deployment security issues in real operations

- Secure System Composition Dynamic Analysis
 - Tools and techniques for dynamic analysis (component analysis and composition analysis)





- Provide users with composition assurance layer tools to help them compose systems rapidly and intelligently
 - Want to avoid putting together whatever is available and "hoping for the best"
 - Build on known and assured properties of individual components
 - Establish known and assured properties of potential composed systems
 - Enable different combinations of components to be investigated, and selection of the most appropriate
- Although our focus is System-of-Systems, the tools and techniques proposed here should be applicable more widely





- User-friendly Interface
- Clear Message and Indication
- Identify and prompt users of possible security problems
- Highlight risk areas dynamically
- Suggest potential solutions to mitigate risks
- Dynamic real time analysis
- Automated analysis
- Applicable in dynamic, mobile networks





MATTS (the Mobile Agent Topology Test System)



🎥 Data Transfer 🛛 🗱 🍕 10:15 🐽	
Please select the file/data you want to send using the file browser options below.	
Select File	
Browse	
Send To Police 👻	
Send Get IP Cancel	
	-

Consists of two applications

- Composition client
 - Represents any organisation (police, fire service, paramedical, etc.) which could participate in crisis management
 - Included with communication devices (PDAs, smart phones, laptops, etc.)
 - Each client has a set of security properties and policies

MATTS server

- Receives client information (connectivity, security policies, etc.) in an XML file
- Runs composition analyses to identify vulnerabilities and threats according to scripts

THALES

MATTS (the Mobile Agent Topology Test System)

- Gives freedom to model many possible scenarios
 - Different numbers of nodes
 - Different security properties
 - Different vulnerability tests





Example – Boundary Check Scenario (Initial Network)



THALES

Example – Proposed Connection (



THALES



"A component will only be allowed to have external connections when it has either Firewall or IDS running, and its Encryption Strength and Staff Skills satisfy the minimum requirements imposed in accordance with its Sensitivity Level."

```
cess id="check">
cess action="link = @ilnum[@n]"/>
cess action="link = (link-1)"/>
cess init="0" cond="(!@a[@iln[@n][link]][External]) && (!@a[@iln[@n][link]][Firewall])
    && (!@a[@iln[@n][link]][IDS])" action="(safe=0)"/>
cess id="ex" init="0" action="ex=@a[@iln[@n][link]][External]"/>
cess id="es" init="0" action="es=@a[@iln[@n][link]][EncryptionStrength]"/>
cess id="ss" init="0" action="ss=@a[@iln[@n][link]][Externat]"/>
cess init="0" cond="(!ex) && (sl == 0) && ((es < 11) || (ss < 3))" action="(safe=0)"/>
cess init="0" cond="(!ex) && (sl == 1) && ((es < 11) || (ss < 3))" action="(safe=0)"/>
cess cond="link > 0" config="link"/>
```







THALES

13

- Boundary Check
 - Ensuring the System-of Systems has a secure boundary
- Data Flow Security
 - Ensuring data cannot flow to locations with insufficient security
- Buffer Overrun
 - Detecting where buffer overrun vulnerabilities can be exploited
- Cascade Vulnerability
 - Detecting if a chain of systems can be compromised in order to access data



Conclusions and Future Work

Current implementation

- Extensible, automated means of detecting Systems-of-Systems security issues
- Highlights potential problems dynamically as topology changes
- Reasons using device properties and topological structure
- Combines real devices and modelled nodes

Future work

- More automated analysis to investigate different scenarios and policies
- Policy reconciliation
- Correction to automatically address detected problems
- Test in larger, real-world scenarios

Image by flaivoloka: http://www.sxc.hu/photo/994582